Contribution ID: 854ee257-a259-4547-8316-2003668ef4eb

Date: 10/09/2020 21:47:50

# Public Consultation for the FuelEU Maritime – Green Maritime Space Initiative

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.



#### Introduction

The European Green Deal communication (https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/european-green-deal-communication\_en.pdf) published by the European Commission in December 2019, emphasised the need to accelerate the transition to a low-emission and climate-neutral economy, including through the shift to sustainable mobility. The Commission has announced a basket of measures as part of this transition, to be proposed in the course of 2020 and 2021.

As part of this, the Commission plans to adopt in 2020 the comprehensive "Strategy on Sustainable and Smart Mobility", deliveringing on ambitious sustainability and modernisation objectives, while ensuring the transport sector recovers from the COVID-19 crisis. The Strategy will set out the key areas and initiatives in transport and mobility where the Commission will consider policy actions to be taken in the coming years and beyond.

The 'FuelEU Maritime – Green European Maritime Space' initiative was announced as a legislative initiative in the context of the 2020 Commission Work Programme (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/2020-commission-work-programme-key-documents\_en). It will be an important element of the Strategy on sustainable and smart mobility, focusing on ramping-up the production, deployment and uptake of sustainable alternative marine fuels, ensuring technological neutrality (low and zero-emissions sustainable alternative fuels and power, including but not limited to: liquid biofuels, e-liquids, decarbonised gas (including bio-LNG and e-gas), decarbonised hydrogen and decarbonised hydrogen-derived fuels (including methane, and ammonia) and electricity), regulating access of the

most polluting ships to EU ports and obliging docked ships to drastically reduce their emissions, including through using shore-side electricity.

By creating a clear pathway for the demand of sustainable alternative fuels (low and zero-emissions sustainable alternative fuels and power) in maritime transport, the 'FuelEU Maritime – Green European Maritime Space' initiative aims to accelerate the achievement of low-emission, climate-neutral shipping and ports by promoting the uptake of sustainable alternative energy and powertrain systems. This initiative is a first concrete step to bring the maritime sector in line with the European target of reaching climate-neutrality by 2050. It does not address issues related to the energy system and infrastructure, taxation, state aid or the EU Emissions Trading System, which will be subject to specific proposals and policy actions.

This initiative continues the approach already promoted by the 2016 Low Emission Mobility Strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/themes/strategies/news/doc/2016-07-20-decarbonisation/com%282016%29501\_en.pdf), with a clear pathway for the maritime sector to contribute to the EU's objectives to reach climate neutrality by 2050 outlined in the European Green Deal (https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal\_en), the Commission's long-term vision for a prosperous, modern, competitive and climate-neutral economy by 2050 (https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/2050\_en) and the proposal for a Climate Law, as well as the strategic orientations of Horizon Europe (https://ec.europa.eu/eip/ageing/news/publication-revised-version-orientations-towards-first-strategic-plan-horizon-europe-share-your\_en). It is also in line with the global Strategy for the reduction of GHG emissions from ships by the International Maritime Organization (http://www.imo.org/en/Pages/Default.aspx), which includes candidate measures and recommendations to support the development and uptake of low- and zero-carbon alternative fuels.

This public consultation invites citizens and organisations to contribute to the assessment of how to accelerate the uptake of sustainable alternative energy and power by the shipping sector. The consultation will be open for a period of 10 weeks instead of the standard 12 weeks. The consultation period has been shortened given the importance of the measure including in the recovery from the crisis. A targeted consultation with various stakeholders will complement the public consultation.

Please note that it is not mandatory to reply to all questions.

### About you

\*Language of my contribution

English

\*I am giving my contribution as

**Business association** 

\*First name

The Bioenergy Association

\*Surname

of Finland

\*Email (this won't be published)

_	
	info@bioenergia.fi
*Orga	nisation name
_	5 character(s) maximum
	· <i>'</i>
	Bioenergia ry - the Bioenergy Association of Finland
*Orga	nisation size
ı	Micro (1 to 9 employees)
	sparency register number
	5 character(s) maximum
	ck if your organisation is on the transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do? =false&locale=en). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.
	174042620514-51 
# Cour	stru est existin
	ntry of origin se add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.
	Finland
	Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal d (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.
	Public
	Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of o
	will be published with your contribution.
	agree with the personal data protection provisions (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/spec
*Pleas	oy otalomon_on,
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations  Port terminal operator or other port services provider
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations  Port terminal operator or other port services provider  Inland waterways sector
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations  Port terminal operator or other port services provider  Inland waterways sector  Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations  Port terminal operator or other port services provider  Inland waterways sector  Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers  Academia, research and innovation
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent   National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)   Regional or local public authorities   Ship owning and ship management   Short sea shipping   Ports management and administrations   Port terminal operator or other port services provider   Inland waterways sector   Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers   Academia, research and innovation   Investment and financing
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent  National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)  Regional or local public authorities  Ship owning and ship management  Short sea shipping  Ports management and administrations  Port terminal operator or other port services provider  Inland waterways sector  Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers  Academia, research and innovation  Investment and financing  Energy producers and fuel supply (including alternative / sustainable fuel sources)
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent   National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)   Regional or local public authorities   Ship owning and ship management   Short sea shipping   Ports management and administrations   Port terminal operator or other port services provider   Inland waterways sector   Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers   Academia, research and innovation   Investment and financing   Energy producers and fuel supply (including alternative / sustainable fuel sources)   Technical standardization bodies and class societies
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent   National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)   Regional or local public authorities   Ship owning and ship management   Short sea shipping   Ports management and administrations   Port terminal operator or other port services provider   Inland waterways sector   Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers   Academia, research and innovation   Investment and financing   Energy producers and fuel supply (including alternative / sustainable fuel sources)   Technical standardization bodies and class societies   Logistics suppliers, shippers and cargo owners
	se specify which interests you (the organisation on behalf of which you respond) represent   National public authorities (transport ministries, agencies)   Regional or local public authorities   Ship owning and ship management   Short sea shipping   Ports management and administrations   Port terminal operator or other port services provider   Inland waterways sector   Shipbuilding and marine equipment manufacturers   Academia, research and innovation   Investment and financing   Energy producers and fuel supply (including alternative / sustainable fuel sources)   Technical standardization bodies and class societies

# General assessment and policy context

1. Various studies have described key options for decarbonising the maritime sector. These include the potential of energy efficiency improvements (i.e. less fuel consumed per a given volume of transported cargo or passengers) and the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels (i.e. use of low- and zero-carbon fuels).
In your view, how relevant is the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels and diversifying the fuel mix of maritime transport in order to accelerate the decarbonisation of shipping?  Output  Relevant  Somewhat relevant  Less relevant  Not relevant  No opinion
2. While energy efficiency improvements have occurred over the past decade in shipping, the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels or propulsion technologies remained negligible.
What are your expectations concerning the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels in maritime transport in the nearest future, i.e. by 2025 (under the existing regulatory framework)?  It will increase significantly  It will increase moderately  It will remain the same  It will decrease  No opinion
3. The EU has already set up a regulatory framework for the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure for maritime transport. The framework includes provisions for equipment of the necessary infrastructure in ports on the Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T) (https://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/infrastructure/ten-t_en) in particular through the provisions of the Directive on the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure (Directive 2014/94/EU (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32014L0094)). Yet the existing regulatory scope is limited to the supply of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) and on-shore power supply (non-mandatory) and does not contain provisions related to their use in operations.
In your view, how relevant is it to complement the existing regulatory framework with policy measures focusing of the demand side (i.e. addressing the use of sustainable alternative fuels by operators) in order to achieve a better deployment or further uptake of such fuels?  Output  Very relevant  Somewhat relevant  Less relevant  Not relevant  No opinion
4. The development and deployment of sustainable alternative fuels requires coordination among different economic actors (e.g. operators, fuel suppliers, ports, technology providers, etc.). It also requires a consistent

The Green Deal foresees that EU action needs to be coordinated with action at global level, in particular the International Maritime Organization (IMO). However, what would be, in your opinion, the governance level best suited to address these objectives in the European Union?

approach to ensure availability of the fuel and guarantee the well-functioning of the internal market.

<ul><li>The objectives would be I</li><li>The objectives would be I</li><li>No opinion</li></ul>	best a	addre addre		by Me at reg	embe jional
i. The European Green Deal (he communication_en.pdf) as well a we	as the	e pro <sub>l</sub> ransp	posal ort se	for a ctor s	Europ should
n your view, how likely is it that arbon fuels will take place in th				-	icy in
Please rate the options listed in ated (e.g. in case of "no opinior	า").				
A signficant use of susta	ainab 1	2	Iterna 3	atıve 4	<b>fue</b> l 5
Before 2030				0	
Between 2030 and 2040				0	0
After 2040			0	0	
i. The 'FuelEU Maritime' initiative elevant is it to complement this shore power supply, uptake of notes and the very relevant somewhat relevant Less relevant Not relevant No opinion	initia	tive v	vith po	olicy n	neası

## Barriers to the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels in maritime transport

7. According to the data on emissions from maritime transport collected under the EU Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) Regulation (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02015R0757-20161216), the vast majority of the 44 million tonnes of fuel consumed in 2018 concerned conventional fossil fuels such as heavy fuel oil, gas oil, diesel oil, etc. Despite the existing framework for supporting corresponding infrastructure development, sustainable alternative fuels were only a small fraction of the fuels consumed by the monitored fleet. How would you explain this situation?

Please rate the potential barriers listed in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all options need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

Reasons	for t	he low	untake	nf elle	tainahla	alternativ	عامرا م
Reasons	וטו נו	ne iow	uplane	บเ ธนธ	lamable	aitemativ	e iueis

	1	2	3	4	5
Lack of predictability of the regulatory framework					
High risk of investment in vessels technology and port infrastructure					
Lack of mature technologies (e.g. on ships and on shore)				0	0
Higher price of sustainable alternative fuels	0	0	0	0	
Lack of communication between actors and lack of transparency on the environmental performance,incl.of the fuel performance	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient supply (fuel production and infrastructure) of sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply					
Insufficient demand for sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply					
Bunkering (i.e. fuel supply) of ships outside the EU	0				
Presence of split incentives in the sector (i.e. situations where the benefits of an investment do not entirely accrue to the investor. Example: a ship owner that is not also the ship manager may have less incentive to invest in green technologies)	0	0	0	0	0
Other reasons, please specify					

8. In your opinion, which of the identified barriers should be addressed as a matter of priority at EU level?

Please rate the items in the table below from 10 (highest priority) to 1 (lowest priority). Not all options need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

#### Reasons for the low uptake of sustainable alternative fuels

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0
Lack of predictability of the regulatory framework			0	0		0		0	0	
High risk of investment in vessels technology and port infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of mature technologies (e.g. on ships and on shore)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Higher price of sustainable alternative fuels										
Lack of communication between actors and lack of transparency on the environmental performance, incl.of the fuel performance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient supply (fuel production and infrastructure) of sustainable alternative fuels or onshore power supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient demand for sustainable alternative fuels or on-shore power supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bunkering (i.e. fuel supply) of ships outside the EU			0						0	

	<ul><li>No</li><li>From your experience, can you give an example of a fawer supply in maritime transport?</li><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>	iiled a	ttemp	t to in	trodu	ce sus	staina	ble al	ternat	tive fu	el or
	. From your experience, can you give an example of a fa wer supply in maritime transport?	iled a	ttemp	t to in	trodu	ce sus	staina	₀ble al	terna	tive fu	el or
	. From your experience, can you give an example of a fa	iled a	ttemp	t to in	trodu	ce sus	staina	ıble al	terna	tive fu	el or
	INU										
μυ	wer supply in maritime transport?  Yes										
	From your experience, can you give an example of a survey curply in maritime transport?	ccessf	<sup>:</sup> ul intr	oduc	tion of	: susta	ainabl	e alte	rnativ	e fuel	or
	Other reasons, piease specify										
	Other reasons, please specify										
	situations where the benefits of an investment do not entirely accrue to the investor. Example: a ship owner that is not also the ship manager may have less incentive to invest in green technologies)			0	0	0		0			0
	Presence of split incentives in the sector (i.e.	1									

# Po

11. The table below presents possibly policy measures – both regulatory and non-regulatory – which could be taken at the EU level to address the barriers to the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels and power in the maritime sector.

Please rate them in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all policy measures need to be rated (e.g. in case of "no opinion").

#### Policy measures to accelerate the uptake of sustainable fuels

	1	2	3	4	5
Accelerate research and innovation enabling the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power (demonstration and deployment)	0	0	0	0	
Set a clear regulatory pathway for decarbonising the current marine fuel mix					
Increase public funding and incentivise private invesment to overcome the high investment risk in vessels powered by sustainable alternative fuels or propulsion systmes	0	0	0	0	
Increase public funding and financial support to overcome the high investment risk in sustainable alternative fuel supply or on-shore power supply infrastructure	0	0		0	
Establish economic incentives to reduce the price differential between conventional and sustainable alternative fuels	0	0	0		
Define objectives for the supply of sustainable alternative fuels and power to the maritime sector		0	0	0	
Define objectives and provisions for the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power in the maritime sector	0	0		0	
Develop standards related to sustainable alternative fuels (incl. fuels, machinery, infrastructure, etc.)	0	0	0	0	

Increase transparency by establishing a certification mechanism for sustainable alternative fuels					
Other measures (please specify)	0		0	0	0
. How should requirements for the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power be	set in	your	view'	?	
For ships in navigation:  Requirements on the share of specific sustainable alternative fuels to be used in Performance requirements based on the carbon-intensity of energy used in man Other (please specify)  No opinion	-				
<ul> <li>For ships at berth:</li> <li>Requirements on the share of specific sustainable alternative fuels to be used in berth (incl. use of on-shore power)</li> <li>Performance requirements based on the carbon-intensity of energy used by shi</li> <li>Other (please specify)</li> <li>No opinion</li> </ul>			∣ mix v	while a	at
3. In case new requirements on the use of sustainable alternative fuels and power ar hould these apply in your view?	e prop	osed	, to wl	hom	
<ul> <li>Types of ships:</li> <li>To all ships</li> <li>To certain ship types (e.g. highest emitters) or types of trade</li> <li>Other (please specify)</li> <li>No opinion</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Scope coverage:</li> <li>Ships calling at ports of the European Union</li> <li>Ships bunkering in ports of the European Union</li> <li>Ships sailing in the territorial waters and Exclusive Economic Zones of EU Mem</li> <li>Other (please specify)</li> <li>No opinion</li> </ul>	ber S	tates			
4. In your view, how should the environmental performance of sustainable alternative e calculated?	fuels	for m	aritim	e tran	sport
<ul> <li>On a "tank-to-wake" basis, accounting total emissions from combustion on boar leakage</li> <li>On a "well-to-wake" basis, taking into account emissions on board and potential resulting from producing the fuel and making it available for use in ships</li> <li>Other (please specify)</li> <li>No opinion</li> </ul>					ssions
5. In your view, what emissions should be considered in assessing the environmenta ustainable alternative fuels for maritime transport (including ships at berth)? CO2 emissions	perfo	rman	ce of		
<ul> <li>CO2 emissions and emissions of other greenhouse gases: methane (CH4) and</li> <li>CO2 emissions, methane (CH4) emissions, nitroux oxide (N2O) emissions and r</li> </ul>				•	ir

pollutants

O No opinion
If both greenhouse gases and air pollutants should be considered, do you have any views on how to weigh relative advantages and assess possible trade-offs?
400 character(s) maximum
16. In accordance with data collected in 2018 under the EU Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system, emissions from ships at berth (in port) amounted to around 6% of the total CO2 emissions reported in MRV. In addition, ships at berth can become a significant source of air pollution, in particular for port cities. In your view and experience, how relevant is it to establish a regulatory framework specifically addressing emissions produced by ships at berth?
<ul><li>Very relevant</li><li>Relevant</li></ul>
Somewhat relevant
Less relevant
○ Not relevant
No opinion
• No opinion
17. Reducing emissions produced by ships at berth may require significant investments, for instance to install on- shore power connections. With this in mind, do you have any views on how these requirements for ships at berth should apply?
<ul> <li>Addressing all ships at berth</li> <li>Prioritising the ships and the ports already equipped with zero-emissions technologies (including on-shore power supply)</li> </ul>
Prioritising the highest emitters (e.g. specific ship segments)
<ul> <li>Taking action once critical infrastructure is made available in majority of EU ports</li> <li>Other (please specify)</li> </ul>
No opinion
TVO OPITION
Additional information
18. Are there other key aspects which you did not find reflected in the questions and you would like to comment upon?
Please give details.
1,500 character(s) maximum
1,000 Undracter(6) Maximum
Please feel free to upload documents, such as additional evidence supporting your responses, such as a policy
brief or a position paper here. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response
to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.
19. Please provide references to any studies, reports or other documents that you think are relevant for this consultation, with links for online download where possible.
1,500 character(s) maximum

## Contact

MOVE-D1-SECRETARIAT@ec.europa.eu