Contribution ID: a745b6eb-28c9-45ae-aee9-55123a2bf8c9 Date: 14/01/2021 22:26:21

Public Consultation on EU emissions trading system - updated rules for aviation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Transport accounts for a quarter of the EU's greenhouse gas emissions, and is still growing. As stated in the 2019 European Green Deal (https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/european-green-dealcommunication en.pdf) communication, to achieve climate neutrality, a 90% reduction in transport emissions is needed by 2050. All transport sectors, including aviation, have to contribute to the required reduction. Aviation in 2019 accounted for 2-3% of global CO_2 emissions. At EU-level, aviation made up 3.8% of total CO_2 emissions, or 13.9% of CO₂ transport emissions in 2017. In addition, aviation is also an important source of non-CO₂ climate impacts with significant warming on climate. Notwithstanding the recent reduction in emissions as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, these impacts could grow further given the sector's historically consistent and enduring over-average growth relative to other economic sectors, including in the EU. While at the global level, CO₂ emissions have been increasing by around 3% per year, aviation's emissions in Europe have increased on average by 5% year-on-year between 2013 and 2018¹. Pre-COVID-19 estimates by Eurocontrol projected an increase in European aviation emissions by 53% until 2040 compared to 2017 in the scenario deemed most likely². Given the impact of the pandemic, the airline industry does not expect air travel demand to return to pre-COVID-19 levels before 2024. Eurocontrol or the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) have yet to publish any revised longer-term estimates taking into account the impacts of COVID-19.

Based on the policy that all sectors should contribute to the EU's emission reduction commitments, the aviation sector has been included in the EU's Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) since 2012, and, up until 2019, has purchased around 160 million allowances from other sectors to cover its growing emissions. Since the inclusion of the aviation sector in the EU ETS, Article 3d of the Directive provides that 15% of aviation allowances are auctioned based on airlines' historical emissions. While the EU ETS covers emissions from flights landing in and departing from the European Economic Area (EEA), including to and from third countries, the EU has adopted temporary derogations to limit the geographical scope to intra-EEA flights (with the exception of flights to and from EU outermost regions), in order to encourage the development of an effective global carbon pricing scheme by the ICAO.

Following the adoption and entry into force of the Paris Agreement, the 2016 ICAO Assembly adopted a Resolution for a global measure to offset growth in international aviation emissions above 2020 levels³, known as the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA), which aims to offset emissions through cancellations of international credits.

Pending the development of the rules and modalities for CORSIA, the EU ETS Directive was revised in 2017 to extend the current geographic scope derogation until the end of 2023. The 2017 revision notably requests the Commission to address the specific issues identified in Articles 3d and 28b of the EU ETS Directive in a report. According to the Directive, the Commission is to present a report to the European Parliament and to the Council (a) assessing CORSIA in relation to a set of features, (b) regarding an increase of the percentage of the auctioning share from the current level and (c) considering ways to implement CORSIA in Union law

EUSurvey - Survey

through the EU ETS Directive. Where appropriate, a legislative proposal should be made that is consistent with the Union economy-wide greenhouse gas emission reduction commitment for 2030, with the aim of preserving the environmental integrity and effectiveness of Union climate action. This work will be considered in the context of the European Green Deal and the objective of enhanced climate ambition for 2030 and climate-neutrality by 2050.

This public consultation invites citizens and organisations to contribute to the assessment of how to revise the EU ETS Directive as regards aviation. Please note that relevant questions and topics may also be covered under other public consultations, in particular the ones for the EU climate ambition for 2030 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12265-2030-Climate-Target-Plan) and for the design of certain climate and energy policies of the European Green Deal and the Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12438-Sustainable-and-Smart-Mobility-Strategy).

¹ Those emissions covered by the EU ETS, not including flights to/from outermost regions, dependencies and territories.

² Eurocontrol (2018), European Aviation in 2040.

³ Due to the COVID-19 crisis, the ICAO Council decided that 2019 emissions shall be used as baseline in the pilot phase instead of the average between 2019 and 2020 emissions. https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-and-Covid-19.aspx

Guidance on the questionnaire

This public consultation consists of some introductory questions related to your profile, followed by a questionnaire split into two parts. Please note that you do not need to respond to both parts of the questionnaire, and can choose to fill in only one of the two. In addition, not all questions in the questionnaire need to be answered.

The first part of the questionnaire deals with implementation of CORSIA through the EU ETS Directive, including the interaction between CORSIA and the EU ETS for aviation. The second part addresses possible policy changes on free allocation and auctioning of allowances in the EU ETS for aviation.

At the end of the questionnaire, you are invited to provide any additional comments and to upload additional information, position papers or policy briefs that express your position or views or those of your organisation. If you select to fill in both parts of the questionnaire, please upload any position papers or policy briefs only once.

About you

*Language of my contribution

English

*I am giving my contribution as

Business association

*First name

The Bioenergy Association

*Surname

of Finland

*Email (this won't be published)

info@bioenergia.fi

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Bioenergia ry - the Bioenergy Association of Finland

*Organisation size

Micro (1 to 9 employees)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register

(http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?redir=false&locale=en). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

174042620514-51

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

Finland

*Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/specificprivacy-statement_en)

PART I: Market-based measures: EU ETS and CORSIA

While the EU ETS and CORSIA are both market-based measures, they differ in various respects, including:

- **Geographic scope:** the EU ETS is a route-based system that includes any flight to or from an EEA¹ aerodrome (with certain exceptions), whereas CORSIA is an operator-based system that covers international flights between participating countries operated by an airline from any country, excluding domestic flights (being defined as flights within a State).
- **Objectives and ambition level:** for the EU ETS the objective is domestic emissions reductions in line with the EU climate objectives, while for CORSIA stabilization of emissions at the level of the baseline through the use of international offsets is the goal.
- **Governance and timeline:** the EU ETS is already legally binding in Union law, and in force since 2012, whereas for CORSIA, whose first phase starts in 2021, States need to develop binding provisions in their domestic legal systems. One of the objectives of the revision of the ETS Directive is to develop these provisions.
- **Type of measure:** the EU ETS is a cap-and-trade system (allowances, a financial instrument, have to be surrendered covering all CO₂ emitted or else, a fine is due) while CORSIA is an offsetting scheme (emissions of CO₂ above a certain level should be compensated by offsets generated by an approved programme).

Against this backdrop, the Commission is considering ways for CORSIA to be implemented in the EU through the EU ETS Directive. Therefore, this questionnaire enquires on the relationship between the two measures. It should be recalled that in the absence of an amendment legislative act adopted by the European Parliament and the Council of the EU by the end of 2023, the EU ETS will revert to its originally intended scope, covering flights departing from the EEA as of 2024 and, unless exempted, incoming flights to the EEA (see, for example, Commission Delegated Decision (EU) 2020/1071 so as to exclude incoming flights from Switzerland (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32020D1071)).

¹ Note that the options below refer to EU/EFTA to simplify analysis. However the EU and Switzerland have two distinct emissions trading systems linked since 2020, each system covering the outgoing flights to the other.

1) Do you think that aviation should contribute more to climate action than it presently does?

- Yes
- O No
- No opinion

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

2) Do you think that market-based measures can be effective means of tackling aviation emissions in line with the European Green Deal?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

3) The European Commission is assessing six policy options of whether and how to implement CORSIA by the

EU. These are namely:

- Option 1 EU ETS full legal scope: In case no amendment to the ETS Directive is adopted by the European Parliament and Council by December 2023, the EU ETS for aviation would cover flights departing from airports in the EU/EFTA and arriving to other airports in EU/EFTA or to third countries and, if not exempted through delegated acts, incoming flights to airports in the EU/EFTA from third countries (exercising empowerment in Article 25a of the EU ETS Directive). Flights to, from and within outermost regions would be covered.
- Option 2 Intra-EU/EFTA ETS only: Maintaining the status quo, the EU ETS would be applied exclusively and confined to the geographical scope of the system as currently applied: allowance surrendering obligations for aircraft operators would be based solely on emissions from flights between aerodromes located in the EU/EFTA, with the exception of flights between EU outermost regions and other regions of the EU/EFTA (including other outermost regions), while including flights within any given outermost region¹ (NB: in this option, CORSIA is neither applied to ETS-exempted routes).
- **Option 3 CORSIA only:** Only CORSIA would be applied to international flights, non-domestic intra-EU/EFTA flights, flights to and from the EU/EFTA States (including their outermost regions) and third countries. As domestic flights are not covered by CORSIA, flights within a Member State would not be covered at all.
- Option 4 ETS-CORSIA "clean cut": The EU ETS would continue to apply to the current intra-EU/EFTA scope, as in option 2 above, and CORSIA would be introduced for extra-EU/EFTA flights, i.e. flights to and from EU/EFTA States (including their outermost regions) and third countries. In other words, the EU ETS would be applied as at present and CORSIA would be applied to all other flights (to the extent that CORSIA is applicable to them).
- Option 5 ETS-CORSIA "mix": Regarding non-domestic intra-EU/EFTA flights, the EU ETS would apply up to each operator's 2020 emissions². Above the 2020 emissions, CORSIA would apply. Regarding flights between EU/EFTA States (including their outermost regions) and third countries, CORSIA would apply on emissions above 2020 levels. This option would cover domestic flights.
- Option 6 ETS-CORSIA "mix" according to licence of aircraft operators, as a variant of option 5: The EU ETS would apply to non-domestic, intra-EU/EFTA flights, operated by operators with licences issued by Member States. For operators with licences issued by third countries, only CORSIA would apply on those non-domestic intra-EU/EFTA flights and flights between EU/EFTA States (including their outermost regions) and third countries. Also contrary to option 5, this option would not cover domestic flights.

¹ Without prejudice to the exemption in Annex I of Directive 2003/87/EC: "(i) flights performed in the framework of public service obligations imposed in accordance with Regulation (EEC) No 2408/92 on routes within outermost regions, as specified in Article 299(2) of the Treaty, or on routes where the capacity offered does not exceed 30 000 seats per year"

² Due to COVID-19 impacts, the ICAO Council decided that 2019 emissions shall be used as baseline in CORSIA's pilot phase (https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/CORSIA-and-Covid-19.aspx) (2021-2023) instead of the originally planned average of 2019-2020 emissions.

- 3.1) Which option(s) among these six would be most preferable in your view?
 - Option 1 EU ETS full legal scope
 - Option 2 Intra-EU/EFTA ETS only

- Option 3 CORSIA only
- Option 4 ETS-CORSIA "clean cut"
- Option 5 ETS-CORSIA "mix"
- Option 6 ETS-CORSIA "mix" according to licence of aircraft operators

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

3.2) Which option(s) among these six would be least preferable in your view?

- Option 1 EU ETS full legal scope
- Option 2 Intra-EU/EFTA ETS only
- Option 3 CORSIA only
- Option 4 ETS-CORSIA "clean cut"
- Option 5 ETS-CORSIA "mix"
- Option 6 ETS-CORSIA "mix" according to licence of aircraft operators

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

3.3) Is there any other option (or variant of one of the six assessed) that you would prefer instead of the above six and why?

1,000 character(s) maximum

4) The EU ETS Directive refers to various aspects that are to be examined in relation to the ambition and overall environmental integrity of CORSIA.

4.1) How would you assess the CORSIA aspects referred to in the EU ETS Directive and listed in the table below?

	Ve ry Po siti ve	Rat her pos itiv e	Neither positive nor negative	Rat her neg ativ e	Ver y ne gat ive	N o pi ni o n
General ambition in relation to targets under the Paris Agreement	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	۲	0	\bigcirc
Level of participation and implementation	0		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc
Enforceability and penalties for non-compliance	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	
Transparency and processes for public input	0	0	0	0	\bigcirc	

https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/printcontribution?code=a745b6eb-28c9-45ae-aee9-55123a2bf8c9

Quality of offset credits (i.e. the ability to achieve the effective compensation of CO_2 emissions)	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	
Monitoring, reporting and verification of emissions (e.g. robustness of the monitoring and verification system)	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	
Registries	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	
Accounting (e.g. avoidance of double counting)	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	
Rules for the use of eligible fuels: sustainable aviation fuels (biofuels) and lower carbon aviation fuels (fossil fuels) to contribute to emission reductions	0	۲	0	0	0	0
Assurance of equal treatment of airlines operating on the same routes	0	0	0	0	0	۲

4.2) Would you like to elaborate on one or more of the aspects listed above regarding the ambition and overall environmental integrity of CORSIA?

5,000 character(s) maximum

[Max. 500 characters per aspect, i.e. ambition in relation to the Paris Agreement, level of participation, enforceability, etc.]

It is clear that CORSIA is on a different ambition level than the European Green Deal and the EU ETS. It will be a global system with common MRV rules so high participation can be expected.

5) Flights to and from outermost regions (https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/policy/themes/outermostregions/) are exempt from the current scope of the EU ETS (see Questions 4 and 5 in the FAQ on Regulation 421/2014 (https://ec.europa.eu/clima/sites/clima/files/transport/aviation/docs/faq_aviation_2013-2016_en.pdf)), while flights within a given outermost region are included. The CORSIA rules, in contrast, expect States to regulate non-domestic flights to and from outermost regions.

In your view, how should flights regarding outermost regions be covered? Please indicate any of the following reflecting your preference:

	Ag re e	Disa gree	No opinio n
Flights within an outermost region: inclusion under the EU ETS (i.e. current situation)	0	\bigcirc	۲
Domestic flights to or from outermost regions: inclusion under the EU ETS (currently excluded until end of 2023)	0	\bigcirc	۲
Non-domestic flights to or from outermost regions: inclusion under the EU ETS (currently excluded until end of 2023)	0	0	۲
International flights to or from outermost regions: inclusion under CORSIA	\bigcirc	0	

Please explain your choice considering Art. 349 TFEU that lists the specific constraints of the outermost regions

1,000 character(s) maximum

6) Considering the European Green Deal, the EU's climate neutrality objective for 2050 and a green recovery...

6.1) ...do you think that market-based measures such as the EU ETS and CORSIA should be combined with other policies such as support for innovative aviation technologies, operational (ATM) improvements, taxation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12227-Revision-of-the-Energy-Tax-Directive-/public-consultation), and the production and use of sustainable aviation fuels (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12303-ReFuelEU-Aviation-Sustainable-Aviation-Fuels/public-consultation)?

- Yes
- 🔵 No
- No opinion

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

In the context of the EU Green Deal, vast technological development and innovation is necessary in the aviation sector. This requires also policy instruments other than market-based measures.

6.2) ... what level of effort to fight climate change should the aviation sector itself contribute and how should this develop over time?

1,000 character(s) maximum

The current level is clearly not sufficient in comparison to other sectors.

7) Are there any other comments you would like to share?

2,000 character(s) maximum

PART II: Free allocation and auctioning

Currently, under the EU ETS, airlines receive a share of the allowances they have to surrender for free, the socalled free allocation. While emitting 68.1 million tonnes of CO_2 emissions in 2019, airlines received free allowances covering 31.3 million tonnes of emissions, or 46% of the total. The remaining 54% were covered by allowances acquired from auctions (approx. 5 million) or from other sectors. (These numbers differ from the percentage of 85% provided for in the EU ETS Directive because the sector's actual emissions have increased and because not all airlines are eligible to receive the allocation, resulting in large variations of the share of free allocation among individual airlines).

EUSurvey - Survey

The Political Guidelines (https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/political-guidelines-nextcommission_en.pdf) for the European Commission 2019-2023 state that there will be a proposal to reduce the free allowances allocated to airlines. This was re-stated in the recent Communication (https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/european-green-deal-communication_en.pdf) on a European Green Deal: "the Commission will propose ... to reduce the EU Emissions Trading System allowances allocated for free to airlines". The EU ETS Directive, as revised in 2017, requests the Commission to present a report on the cost pass-through of the aviation sector with the intention of making a proposal to increase the percentage of auctioning, considering alignment with other sectors and the competitiveness between different modes of transport. In this context, cost pass-through refers to the ability of airlines to transfer the cost of required emission units to their passengers (or cargo clients). Among the other sectors under the EU ETS, full auctioning is the method used for allocating allowances in the power sector, while free allocation based on sector benchmarks continues to be used to various degrees for industrial sectors exposed to carbon leakage.

8) Do you agree with the statements that reducing or removing the free allocation of allowances to airlines would...

	Ye s	N o	Don't know
increase the climate change mitigation impact by the EU ETS	0		\bigcirc
increase fairness between those airlines eligible to receive the allocation and those that are not		0	\bigcirc
improve the level playing field among transport modes	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	۲
increase the cost of flying for operators and consumers		0	0

9) The European Commission is assessing five policy options as regards the modulation of the share of free allocation, relative to the current situation (a de jure 85% free allocation):

- 0. Status quo: The current legal situation is perpetuated until 2030, i.e. the 15% auctioning share.
- 1. Immediate phase-out: 100% auctioning from the entry into force of the revision.
- 2. Swift phase-out: Full auctioning by 2025, starting with an auctioning share of 60% in 2023, and a share of 80% in 2024.
- 3. Slow phase-out: A linear increase year-by-year to full auctioning by 2030 starting from 20% in 2023.
- 4. Slow reduction: A linear increase year-by-year starting with an auctioning share of 20% in 2023 and ending at 55% in 2030.

9.1) Which option(s) among these five would be most preferable in your view?

- Status quo
- Immediate phase-out
- Swift phase-out
- Slow phase-out
- Slow reduction

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

2030 is the target year. A slow phase-out would give time to adapt for all parties.

9.2) Which option(s) among these five would be least preferable in your view?

Status quo

Immediate phase-out

Swift phase-out

Slow phase-out

Slow reduction

Please explain:

1,000 character(s) maximum

9.3) Is there any other option (or variant of one of the five assessed) that you would prefer instead of the abovementioned five and why?

1,000 character(s) maximum

10) The conclusions of the European Council in July 2020 invited the Commission to put forward a revised proposal on the ETS, possibly extending it to aviation and maritime sectors in the context of creating new own resources for the EU budget. What are your views on the best use of the revenues from the auctioning of aviation allowances to foster climate neutrality?

1,000 character(s) maximum

Innovation funds

11) Are there any other comments you would like to share?

2,000 character(s) maximum

Final remarks

Should you wish to provide additional information (for example a position paper) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document here. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position. Please upload your file

Please upload your file

Please provide your hyperlinks:

Contact

Contact Form (/eusurvey/runner/contactform/EU-ETS-Aviation-2020)