Contribution ID: bb7177de-7e65-47e6-b99e-8e3456eec334

Date: 17/10/2022 16:43:47

Public consultation on 'CountEmissions EU'

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Transport is currently the only economic sector where total emissions are higher than in 1990, and continue to grow with increasing demand for transport services. Therefore, action is needed to make all transport modes more sustainable, and to promote clean multimodal alternatives to current forms of door-to-door transport for goods and people.

New technologies, improved environmental awareness and tighter laws can all help make transport more efficient and lower emissions. The European Union supports the transport decarbonisation process by providing common requirements, standards and incentives. Some of the incentives are economic in nature, including pricing. Others are non-economic, such as better information for users (e.g. cargo owners, passengers, transport intermediaries and customers) about the environmental impact of services and products.

One potential incentive measure is <u>Greenhouse Gas (GHG)</u> accounting. This is a method for measuring and monitoring greenhouse gas emissions from different economic activities. In the transport and logistics sector, GHG accounting data would give insights into the GHG emission performance of transport services and lead to more informed choices by:

- enabling transport operators to accurately calculate, monitor and compare their emissions
- giving transport users an estimate of the carbon footprint for their different transport and delivery options.

This initiative aims to provide a common framework for measuring GHG emissions from freight and passenger transport services, both in the unimodal and multimodal perspective. It should provide a neutral and reliable tool for monitoring and comparing various transport services, irrespective of the mode of transport, sector or country of operation. By making it easier for people and businesses to make sustainable transport choices, it will help the EU to meet the objectives of the European Green Deal and the European Climate Law, and to achieve the milestones set out in the sustainable and smart mobility strategy.

While this initiative focuses on the greenhouse gas emission performance of transport, the European Commission recognises that the environmental impacts from transport go beyond greenhouse gases and include, for example, air pollutant emissions. (In 2019, the transport sector, particularly road transport, was responsible for almost half of all emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) in the EU, and for around 10% of emissions of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC), according to data reported by Member States to the European Environment Agency).

About you

*Language of my contribution
English
*I am giving my contribution as
Business association
In which capacity will you reply to the following questions?
Other
Please specify "Other"
500 character(s) maximum
Business association representing energy sources for transport services
*First name
The Bioenergy Association
*Surname
of Finland
*Email (this won't be published)
info@bioenergia.fi

*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Bioenergia ry - the Bioenergy Association of Finland
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to
influence EU decision-making.

174042620514-51

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

Finland

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

General questions

Transport comes at a cost to the environment and to society, in terms of air quality, health, noise and land use, etc. The European Green Deal and the European Climate Law require a 90% cut in GHG emissions from transport by 2050. To achieve this target, our transport system has to be smart, safe, accessible and affordable. For that, we need not only greener ways of powering vehicles and better alternatives for choosing more sustainable modes of transport, but also a change in the mind-set and behaviour of everyone involved in transport activities, including cargo owners, passengers, consumers, transport

operators, transport intermediaries who arrange freight transport for others, service providers and authorities. In this section, you will be asked to what extent you consider environmental issues when deciding on your transport services/journeys/product deliveries.

1. If you are a transport service user (e.g. cargo owner, passenger, customer):
how important is the greenhouse gas (GHG) efficiency as a factor when
choosing or purchasing transport services, making travel arrangements or choosing
delivery options for products bought online?

Not	Important

- Slightly Important
- Neutral
- Important
- Very Important
- Not applicable to me

2. If you are a **transport service user** (e.g. cargo owner, passenger, customer): what are the most important **criteria** for you when choosing or purchasing transport services, making travel arrangements or choosing delivery options for products? Please rank these criteria in the order of importance.

Please rank these criteria in the order of importance.

Use drag&drop or the up/down buttons to change the order or accept the initial order.

:	Safety
#	Timing
#	Price
#	Reliability
#	Environmental efficiency
#	Other (please specify)

2.a. Does the **order of importance change** depending on factors like location and distance (e.g. urban, interurban, interregional, international), type of goods (e.g. weekly groceries (FMCGs), dangerous goods etc.), type of journey (e.g. for work, for pleasure)?

Yes

No

2.b. Please explain your answer.

1500 character(s) maximum
3. If you are a transport service user (e.g. cargo owner, passenger, customer): would you like to be given information on GHG emissions from available
transport options?
O Yes
No No
In some cases (please specify)
Do not know
Not applicable to me
3.a. Please explain in what cases you would like to be given information. 500 character(s) maximum
In case the GHG emissions are not already internalized e.g. through the EU ETS or taxation.
4. If you are a cargo owner: logistics service provider or other organisation
organising the shipment of goods, when choosing or purchasing transport
services, are you given enough information on GHG emissions from the available
transport options?
Always
Frequently
Occasionally
Never
Do not know
Not applicable to me
5. If you are a passenger or individual planning a journey: when choosing or purchasing your travel services, are you given enough information on GHG emissions from the available travel options? Always
Frequently

	Occasionally
0	Never
	Do not know
	Not applicable to me

- 6. If you are **an online customer**: **when choosing the delivery of your package**, are you given enough information on GHG emissions from the available delivery options?
 - Always
 - Frequently
 - Occasionally
 - Never
 - Do not know
 - Not applicable to me
- 7. If you are **a transport service user** (e.g. cargo owner, passenger, customer): **how important** to you are the following reasons for considering GHG emissions when choosing or purchasing a transport service, making travel arrangements or choosing delivery options for products?

7.a. For individuals

	Not Important	Slightly Important	Neutral	Important	Very Important	Not applicable to me
Wish to lower the environmental impact of the journey or delivery	0	•	0	0	0	0
Financial incentives (e. g. employer compensating for choosing sustainable travel options)	0	0	0	0	•	0

7.b. For organisations

	Not Important	Slightly Important	Neutral	Important	Very Important	Not applicable to me
Wish to lower the environmental impact of the service	0	•	0	0	0	0
Promoting sustainable image of the organisation	•	0	0	0	0	0
Cost reduction	0	0	0	•	0	0
Existing contractual requirements (e.g. between companies)	•	0	0	0	0	0

n on GHG
s is reliable and
ger, customer):
ery option with

transport, travel or delivery option with lower emissions?

Yes, always

Yes, provided the delays do not significantly affect my personal or professiona interests
No, in most cases it is not possible for me to accept longer waiting times
No, I do not consider this aspect at all
Do not know
Not applicable to me
10.a. Would you like to explain your answer? 1500 character(s) maximum
1300 Character(s) maximum
11. If you are a transport service user (e.g. cargo owner, passenger, customer): would you be willing to accept either a less comfortable journey (passenger transport) or introduce additional organisational arrangements (freight
transport) in your company for a transport, travel or delivery option with lower
emissions?
Yes, always
Yes, provided it does not significantly affect my personal or professional interests
No, in most cases it is not possible for me to accept it
No, I do not consider this aspect at all
Do not know
Not applicable to me
11.a. Would you like to explain your answer?
1500 character(s) maximum
This fully depends on the details. We are e.g. often choosing train, but this is also for cost and comfortability reasons.
12. If you are a transport service provider (e.g. carrier, operator): do you measure GHG emissions when organising your transport services? Yes Occasionally
I would like to but I do not have the appropriate tools to do so

No
Not applicable to me
12.a. If you do measure GHG emissions when organising your transport services, please specify the reasons why you measure CHG emissions. (multiple answers possible) To comply with legal requirements
To address requirements of customers, users or passengers
\square To provide operational information for the internal decision-making process
To help meet an emissions reduction target
Other (Please specify)
Not applicable to me
Tot approads to me
12.b. Please specify "Other"
12.b. Please specify "Other" 500 character(s) maximum
500 character(s) maximum 12.c. Would you have any additional comments regarding the measurement of CHG emissions?
500 character(s) maximum 12.c. Would you have any additional comments regarding the measurement of

13. If you are **a transport service provider** (e.g. carrier, operator): **how important** are each of the following as reasons to consider disclosing the GHG emissions performance of your services?

	Not Important	Slightly Important	Neutral	Important	Very Important	Not applicable to me
Promoting the sustainable image of your services	0	0	0	0	0	•
Addressing specific requirements from customers, users or passengers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Helping to meet an emissions reduction target	0	0	0	0	0	0

13.a. Would you like to add any other relevant reasons? 1500 character(s) maximum
14. If you are a transport service provider (e.g. carrier, operator): would you consider investing or taking steps to reduce emissions from your services if you had reliable information that other similar services performed better in terms GHG emissions?
Yes, it would be a strong incentive
Only if there was a clear return on investment
No, I would not consider this aspect at all
Do not know
Not applicable to me
14.a. Would you like to explain your answer?
1500 character(s) maximum
Views on the problems

There are several accounting methodologies for GHG emissions, but the Commission's initial analysis shows that they do not enable people to assess, monitor and compare the GHG emissions from different transport services accurately and fairly. Transport operators often cannot benchmark their services against each other because of different approaches or data gaps. Shippers and logistics companies do not have sufficiently accurate information on the environmental performance of different transport services to base their choices on. Likewise, passengers usually do not have access to the right information when planning journeys or the information is not comparable across different platforms. The same goes for online shopping customers, who usually are not given information on the GHG emissions for their product deliveries.

This situation limits substantially the benefits of GHG emissions measurement to those companies, which want to calculate and share their emission data and to customers, who are willing to take such elements into account when purchasing a transport service.

In addition, companies that are required to report, may need to comply simultaneously with several different approaches, which create unnecessary administrative burdens for them.

Moreover, the input data and support tools currently available for calculating GHG emissions are insufficient. As a result, many transport operators, especially smaller businesses, do not measure, monitor and share GHG emission data for their services. This means their customers are unable to access the most reliable data on GHG emissions performance when choosing a transport or delivery service. This section will investigate how important these problems are for you.

15. How significant in your opinion is the problem related to the existence of various GHG accounting methods and calculators leading to the provision of incomparable GHG emissions data by transport service providers? Not significant at all Slightly significant Neutral Significant Very significant Do not know
 15.a. Do you consider it a problem for your private or professional activities? Yes No To limited extent only Do not know 15.b. Would you like to explain your choice? 1500 character(s) maximum
These problems can be addressed by pricing the emissions e.g. through taxation or the EU ETS.
16. How significant is the lack of data, insufficient or incomparable data on GHG emissions in preventing users from making informed choices on transport services, travel options and deliveries? Not significant at all Slightly significant Neutral Significant Very significant

Do not know

Yes
O No
To limited extent only
Do not know
16.b. Would you like to explain your choice?
1500 character(s) maximum
17. What are the main reasons why some transport service providers do not
measure the GHG emissions of their transport services?
at most 5 choice(s)
Limited availability of data in their own company
Limited availability of data in partner companies along the supply chain
Difficulty in choosing a suitable methodology
Fragmentation and inconsistency between methodologies
Complexity of calculation
Lack of technical support tools
Cost of calculation
Low priority for environmental aspects
Lack of benefits for the company
Commercial sensitivity of the emissions data
Do not know
Other (please specify)
17.a. Please specify "Other"
500 character(s) maximum
18. What are the main reasons why some transport service providers do not
disclose the GHG emissions for their transport services?
at most 3 choice(s) Concerns about commercially sensitive data

Lack of technical support tools
Costs
Low priority for environmental aspects
Lack of benefits for the company
$^{\square}$ Data not gathered by or not available to the service provider from their supply
chain
Do not know
Other (Please specify)
18.a. Please specify "Other" 500 character(s) maximum
ou character(s) maximum

Views on objectives and possible measures

CountEmissions EU aims to set up a common framework for measuring GHG emissions from transport services across various modes and countries. This would help to ensure that the resulting emissions data are accurate, reliable and comparable. That, in turn, would enable transport users to make informed choices by comparing the GHG emissions performance of different transport services or travel and delivery options, according to their needs and preferences. If widely available, this information should stimulate behavioural change towards greener transport solutions, both for companies and individuals, and eventually contribute to curbing emissions from transport activities.

The choice of a suitable reference GHG accounting methodology is a central element in this initiative. The Commission may also consider designing support measures and producing guidelines to assist transport operators and service providers who decide to apply the GHG accounting methodology, and to build trust among passengers and users in the information provided.

The Commission is mindful of:

- the need for the methodology and guidelines to cater for specific characteristics and requirements of certain segments of the transport sector, such as passenger transport, postal delivery, dangerous goods, etc.;
- the need for a verification system to ensure quality and comparability of the GHG emissions data shared by transport operators, service providers and other stakeholders in the transport chain;
- the need for access to comparable GHG emissions data for services involving different means of transport in the multimodal transport chain;
- the need for complementary technical tools, calculators and programs to measure and monitor GHG emissions (especially important for individuals, micro-companies and small and medium-sized enterprises);
- the need for regular updates to keep up with new developments.

In this section, you will be invited to provide your views on the relevance of the objectives and to share your opinion on the preliminary policy measures.

19.	To what	extent do	you agree that	a common	methodology	could:
-----	---------	-----------	----------------	----------	-------------	--------

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Do not know
ensure a consistent approach to measuring GHG emissions?	0	0	0	•	0	0
provide clear and unambiguous GHG emissions data?	©	0	•	0	©	0
enable cost savings (a common methodology for calculating GHG emissions would save the time and money involved in investigating and assessing different methodologies)?	©	©	•	©	©	•
lighten the administrative burden for multinational companies that currently have to deal with a variety of corporate or national requirements?	0	0	0	•	0	0
create a greater incentive for transport operators to measure and disclose GHG emissions associated with the transport services they provide?	•	•	0	•	0	0
Other (Please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0

19.a. Would you like to add any other relevant reasons?

500 chara	cter(s) maxımum			

20. To what extent do you agree that the common methodology for calculating GHG emissions for transport services, journeys and deliveries should:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Do not know
allow for a fair and accurate comparison of the GHG emissions performance of different transport services, journeys and product delivery options?	0	0	0	•	0	•

provide clarity on how the GHG emissions are measured?	©	©	0	0	•	0
be user-friendly and allow for a uniform application across the transport sector?	0	0	0	0	•	0
enable GHG emission data for different transport services, journeys and product delivery options to be presented in a consistent way?	•	•	•	•	•	•
be based on a globally accepted standard reflecting the international nature of much transport?	0	0	0	•	0	0
be 'modular', catering for the needs of companies of different sizes?	0	0	0	0	•	0
not lead to substantial increase in costs and administrative burdens for companies and individuals?	0	0	0	0	•	0

21. How important for you is the issue of access to reliable and accurate GHG emissions data on specific transport services?

- Not Important
- Slightly Important
- Neutral

- Important
- Very Important
- Do not know

22. Considering the effort required and data availability but also the need for accuracy and comprehensiveness, what should be the boundaries of the common methodology as the basis for measuring emissions?

- Tailpipe emissions direct energy used by vehicles, vessels and aircrafts (tank-to-wheel)
- Energy lifecycle, including tailpipe emissions but also emissions from energy production and use (well-to-wheel)

18

from transport operations, energy production and use, and production and recycling of all means of transport (e.g. a train, ship, road vehicle, airplane, and their specific components and parts) used for a transport service Do not know Other (Please specify) 22.a. Please specify "Other" 500 character(s) maximum 23. Would you like to comment on or raise any other issues relating to a common methodology for measuring GHG emissions in transport? 1500 character(s) maximum 24. Do you think a verification system is needed (e.g. certification or accredited verifiers) to ensure that the GHG emissions data provided on specific transport services, journeys and product delivery options, are compliant with the common methodology? Yes Yes, unless this is very burdensome for various stakeholders Yes, but the verification should be voluntary (e.g. like a quality label) No No opinion 25. Do you think there is a need for additional technical measures, tools, guidelines, calculators and programs to facilitate the uptake of a common methodology for measuring the GHG emissions of transport services, journeys and product deliveries? Yes No

Full product lifecycle (from cradle to grave), including emissions stemming

25.a. If you replied 'Yes' or 'Yes, in some cases', please explain where you think such support tools or guidelines could be most helpful. What suggestions or
concerns would you have about their use in the sector you operate in?
1500 character(s) maximum
26. Once a common methodology has been devised for calculating GHG emissions, should its use be compulsory or voluntary?
It should be voluntary, the users can pick this or any other methodology.
It should be voluntary, but if GHG data are to be published or shared then only
this common framework should be used to ensure that the users can compare fairly.
It could be mandatory in some circumstances or for some services (e.g. for
public services, for e-commerce deliveries, for large companies etc.).
It should be mandatory for all transport service providers.
26.a. If 'mandatory in some circumstances or for some services', please elaborate on your answer.
1500 character(s) maximum
Mandatory, unless cost of GHG is internalized.
Other
27. If you wish to add further information or comments – relevant to this
questionnaire – please feel free to do so here. 3000 character(s) maximum
A good instrument prevents information failure and helps companies, customers and passengers monitoring and comparing easily and accurately various transport service options with respect to their GHG emissions.

The EU initiative should be a complementary tool towards the 2050 climate neutrality objective. It should help the final consumer in climate-informed choices on the products purchases and transport options - in

Yes, in some cases

No opinion

particular in cases where the cost of GHG emissions is not already internalized in the price of service.

The development of the methodology should be developed according to technology- neutral thinking considering biofuels, biogas, RFNBO:s and hydrogen produced in different ways. A well- to-wheel approach in the emissions calculations is needed. Other environmental impacts, such as on biodiversity, should also be considered for different transport modes.

It is however crucial to make sure the framework will not be too burdensome from an administrative perspective, It must be kept as simple and understandable as possible. We support the ambition to influence a global standard.

28. Do you wish to upload a position paper or additional evidence supporting your responses?

Please feel free to do so. The maximum file size is 1 MB.

Please do not upload a document unless you have responded to the questionnaire, which is the essential input to this consultation. Any upload will be seen as additional background reading to help us better understand your position. It will be published alongside your responses to the questionnaire.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact

MOVE-CountEmissionsEU-Consultation@ec.europa.eu